٠.		
1	2	3
37.	National News Print & Paper Mills. Nepanagar	12,000
38.	Bharat Aluminium Corpn Korba	122
39.	Durgapur Chem. Ltd., Durgapur (West Bengal)	9,200
40.	Konoria Chem. & Ind. Ltd., Renukot (Distt. Mirzapur)	66.000
41.	Hindustan Paper Mills, Cachar	25,000
42.	Hindustan Paper Mills. Jagi Road	40,000
43.	Gujarat Heavy Chemicals, Satrauda Taluka. District Junagarh (Gujarat)	8,44,200
44.	Tamilnadu Fluorine and Allied Chem Cuddalore	1,200
45.	Tata Iron Steel Ltd., Jamshedpur	1.545
46.	Steel Authority of India Ltd., Bokaro	1,500
47.	Uranium Corpn Jaduguda	1,500
48.	Associate Cement Companies Ltd Zinkapani (Bihar)	1,600
49.	Bhilai Steel Plant, Bhilai	500
50.	Ahmedabad Electricity Co. Ltd Ahmedabad	7,000
51.	Somaiya Organics. Barabanki	240
52.	Rayalaseema Alkalies & Chem. Kavuru	70,557

[English]

149 Written Answers

Problems of Entrepreneurs in obtaining Charter Boats

3097. SHRI C. P. MUDALA GIRIYAPPA: Will the Minister of FOOD PROCESSING INDUSTRIES be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Government are aware of the problems faced by entrepreneurs in obtaining charter boats during 1990; and
- (b) if so, the steps taken to assist entrepreneurs in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF FOOD PRO-CESSING INDUSTRIES (SHRI GIRIDHAR GOMANGO): (a) and (b) It is for the entrepreneurs to arrange boats for charter. Since this is a commercial and bilateral arrangement between the boat owner and the charterer, Government have no role.

Expansion of FACT

3098. SHRI P. C. THOMAS: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

- (a) whether there is any scheme for expansion of the fertilizer factory of FACT at Ambalamukal near Ernakulam in Kerala:
 - (b) if so, the details thereof:
- (c) whether the efflux from this factory into the Chithiraspuzha river has caused pollution thereby rendering about 200 acres of land uncultivable: and
- (d) if so, whether the Government propose to compensate the effected people by giving them jobs in FACT?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (DR. CHINTA MOHAN): (a) Yes. Sir.

(b) (i) The company is implementing a retrofit project of its

Ammonia Plant at Cochin Division Phase-I at a cost of Rs. 19 crores.

- (ii) The company is finalising a project report for Phase-II expansion of its Cochin Division for additional production of Sulphuric Acid and Phosphoric Acid Plants and fertilizers.
- (c) The effluents from Cochin Division, Ambalamedu are let out in the Chithrapuzha river only after adequate appropriate treatment as per stipulations of Central and State Pollution Control authorities. These effluents have not rendered lands uncultivable
 - (d) Does not arise.

Cost of Production of Sugar

3099 SHRI ANKUSHRAO RAOSAHEB TOPE: Will the Minister of FOOD be pleased to state:

- (a) the Zone-wise cost of production of sugar during the last three vears;
- (b) the Zone-wise recovery percentage and duration taken for calcula-

tion of cost of production of sugar during the period; and

(c) the Zone-wise break-up of cane prices?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF FOOD (SHRI TARUN GOGOI): (a) The cost of production of sugar for the purpose of determination of ex-factory levy sugar prices is worked out every year based on the minimum cane price notified for the respective year, parameters of conversion cost and return recommended by the expert body viz. the Bureau of Industrial Costs and Prices. and taking into account estimates of recovery and duration submitted by the sugar factories and the State Governments. The zone-wise exfactory levy sugar prices for the last 3 years, namely, 1988-89, 1989-90 and 1990-91 are shown in the attached Statement-L.

(b) and (c) Zone-wise recovery percentage, duration and cane prices during the period 1988-89 to 1990-91 taken into account in the aforesaid calculation of ex-factory levy prices are shown in the attached Statement-П.

STATEMENT I

Ex-Factory levy prices of Sugar notified for 1988-89, 1989-90 and 1990-91 Sugar Years

1988-89 1989-90 (1) (2) (3) (4) 1. Punjab 437.12 490.96 2. Haryana 442.03 490.97 3. Rajasthan 566.58 639.54 4. West Uttar Pradesh 462.61 529.89	D	S-30 Grade s. per quintal)	Zone		SI. No.
2. Haryana 442.03 490.97 3. Rajasthan 566.58 639.54 4. West Uttar Pradesh 462.61 529.89	1990-91 (5)		(2))	(1)
3. Rajasthan 566.58 639.54 4. West Uttar Pradesh 462.61 529.89	525.28	490.96	Punjab	Pun	1.
4. West Uttar Pradesh 462.61 529.89	526.85	490.97	Haryana	. Har	2.
22.63	653.56	639.54	Rajasthan	Raja	3.
C C III D II	548.97	529.89	West Uttar Pradesh	Wes	4.
5. Central Uttar Pradesh 441.13 498.64	539.45	498.64	Central Uttar Pradesh	Cen	5.
6. East Uttar Pradesh 473.65 530.31	562.61	530.31	East Uttar Pradesh	East	6.